| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
|---|---|---|---|
| | 09/726,589 | KRIMM ET AL. | |
| | Examiner | Art Unit | |
| | Ernesto Garcia | 3679 | |

**All Participants:**

(1) *Ernesto Garcia*.

(2) *Mark Neblett*.

**Status of Application:** _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

**Date of Interview:** *11 October 2007*

**Time:** *2:15PM*

**Type of Interview:**

☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No
If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:
*n/a*

Claims discussed:
*5*

Prior art documents discussed:
*n/a*

**Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
*The examiner called to amend claim 5 since the limitations of claim 4 were not incorporated into independent claim 5. The examiner also called to let applicant know that Figure 3 requires correction. Mr. Neblett affirmed the examiner's amendment.*

**Part III.**

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

_____ _____

(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)